UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BENJAMIN	GROBLER	
	Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. <u>5:12-CV-01534-LHK</u>
	1 min(5),	
APPLE INC.	V.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
	Defendant(s).	
	el report that they have met and oulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16	conferred regarding ADR and have reached the 6-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties ag	gree to participate in the following	ng ADR process:
Court	Processes:	
	Non-binding Arbitration (ADR	
Ц	Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE	E) (ADR L.R. 5)
	Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	
(Note: Parties	s who believe that an early settle	ement conference with a Magistrate Judge is
		an any other form of ADR must participate in an
		form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for
ADK Fnone C	Conference. See Civil Local Rule	10-0 unu ADR L.R. 3-3)
Privat	te Process:	
\checkmark	Private ADR (please identify p	process and provider) The parties have agreed
to private me	diation from a provider to be de	termined by mutual assent of the parties.
The mentice co	man to hald the ADD agains has	
The parties ag	gree to hold the ADR session by: the presumptive deadline (The	: deadline is 90 days from the date of the order
<u>. </u>		process unless otherwise ordered.)
	4	
	other requested deadline	
Dated: 7/19/2	2012	/s/ Richard C. Lin
···· <u> </u>	<u></u>	Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 7/19/2	2012	/s/ Alfredo A. Perez de Alejo
Datos. //17/2	<u> </u>	Attorney for Defendant

The parties' stipulation is adopted and IT IS SO ORDERED.
The parties' stipulation is modified as follows, and IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 26, 2012

Lucy H.Koh

United States District Court